

**WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL**

**Bikash Bhavan, Salt Lake, Kolkata – 700 091.**

**Present-**

**The Hon'ble Mrs. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member(J)**

**Case No. – OA-733 of 2018**

Asinjit Sarkar **VERSUS** – The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order For the Applicant : Mr. K. Mukherjee,  
Learned Advocate.

13  
24.6.2022 For the State Respondents : Mrs. S. Agarwal,  
Learned Advocate.

The matter is taken up by the Single Bench pursuant to the order contained in the Notification No. 354-WBAT/2J-15/2016 dated 18th May, 2022 issued in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 6 (5) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985.

The instant application has been filed praying for following relief :-

- a) Order, dated 27.11.2017 being No. 3631 (13) is to be set aside in limini.
- b) The Finance Department is directed to immediately imply the observation as per order No. U.O.Group-P1/2018-2019/0022, U.O. Date 24-04-2018 and give the benefit of 3 % to all the Surveyors under Grade-I category.
- c) Direction may be given not to give any effect of the order, dated 27-11-2017 being No. 3631(13) till the disposal of the main application.
- d) A direction may be given upon the respondent authorities to pay the 3% benefit with immediate effect till the disposal of the main application.

As per the applicant, the Memo dated 27.11.2017 is contrary to the para 3 of Memo No. 2728-F dated 07.04.2009 issued by the Finance Department, Government of West Bengal.

Further during the course of hearing the counsel for the applicant has drawn my attention to the Memo No. 836(14)/2E-

**ORDER SHEET**

Form No.

Asinjit Sarkar

**Vs.**

Case No. **OA-733 of 2018**

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

19/H/2011-2019 dated 28.02.2019 of Water Resources Development Directorate, Govt. of West Bengal and has submitted that their grievances has been redressed by the authority by this common order.

The counsel for the respondent has further submitted that since the grievance of the applicant have been redressed by the authority; the OA has become infructuous and may be disposed of accordingly.

In view of the above, the OA is disposed being infructuous as the grievance of the applicant has already been redressed by the authority.

URMITA DATTA (SEN)  
MEMBER (J)

sc